

(a) whether it is a fact that the DoPT has introduced "Post Based Rosters" in place of Vacancy Based Rosters for implementing reservation system w.e.f. 2nd July, 1997;

(b) if so, reasons for the same;

(c) whether while introducing "Post Based Rosters" in place of "Vacancy Based Rosters" the process of Identifying excesses shortages if any in Class I, II, III and IV category of services under the Ministry of Finance and all the Autonomous/Statutory/attached/Sub-ordinate Offices and Public Sector Undertakings was undertaken as prescribed under para (5) of the DoPT O.M. No.36012/2/96-Estt. (Res.) dated 2nd July, 1997; and

(d) if so, the results thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI BALASAHEB VIKHE PATIL): (a) to (d) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Application for Financial Assistance from IDBI & ICICI

1794. SHRI BRAHMAKUMAR BHATT: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the number of applications received by the IDBI and ICICI from the entrepreneurs of States/UTs for Financial assistance during 1998-99 and 1999-2000, till date year-wise;

(b) the number of applications sanctioned and rejected; and

(c) the financial assistance provided by IDBI and ICICI, separately?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI BALASAHEB VIKHE PATIL) (a) and (b) The details of applications from entrepreneurs of States/UTs for financial assistance received, sanctioned and rejected by IDBI under its direct finance Schemes during 1998-99 and 1999-2000 and April-June, 2000 (State-wise) are given in the enclosed Statement-I (*see* below).

ICICI Limited has reported that only those applications which are processed for approvals are tracked. The data reporting system of ICICI does not generate information relating to applications received, sanctioned and rejected by ICICI.

(c) The State-wise details of financial assistance provided by IDBI and

[8th August, 2000]

RAJYA SABHA

ICICI Limited during each of the last three years and April-June, 2000 are given in Statement-II and Statement-III respectively.

Statement-I

Applications received by IDBI State-wise during 1998-99, 1999-2000 & April—June 2000.

Sr. No.	State	Received	1998-99 Sanctioned	Rejected	(Nos)					
					1999-2000 Received	Sanctioned	2000 (April-June) Received	Sanctioned	Rejected	Closed
1.	Andhra Pradesh	131	32	1	135	49	5	32	15	0
2.	Assam	10	3	0	8	3	0	1	0	0
3.	Bihar	17	8	0	14	11	0	1	0	0
4.	Goa	12	5	0	5	1	0	3	0	0
5.	Gujarat	128	48	0	157	69	4	25	7	0
6.	Harayana	51	10	0	47	22	3	7	3	1
7.	Himachal Pradesh	16	3		20	8	2	3	1	0
8.	Jammu & Kashmir	1	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	0
9.	Karnataka	67	31	2	76	51	3	15	4	0
10.	Kerala	28	12	0	14	8	0	3	1	0
11.	Madhya Pradesh	61	18	3	47	20	0	14	4	0
12.	Maharashtra	277	100	4	303	166	8	90	46	1
13.	Meghayala	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
14.	Orissa	10	2		23	7	0	2	1	
15.	Punjab	45	14	1	64	39	9	14	3	2
16.	Rajasthan	79	28	1	80	59	1	15	4	0
17.	Sikkim		0		0	0	0	0	0	
18.	Tamil Nadu	136	50	1	123	66	11	24	15	0
19.	Uttar Pradesh	66	25	1	73	33	1	17	6	1
20.	West Bengal	59	1	0	83	35	0	10	4	0
Union Territories										
(a)	Andaman & Nicobar	0	3	0	0	4	0	3	0	0
(b)	Chandigarh	19	5	0	10	6	0	0	0	0
(c)	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	30	15	0	35	19	1	2	0	0
(d)	Delhi	8	2	0	7	4	0	9	5	0
(e)	Daman & Diu	7			5		0	0	0	0
(f)	Pondicherry						0	1	0	0

Note: The number of applications received State-wise will not tally with the total of applications sanctioned and rejected as some applications would be closed/cancelled or pending during each year.

Statement-II

State-wise Direct Assistance Sanctioned and Disbursed by IDBI during 1997-98, 1998-99, 1999-2000 & April—June 2000.

		(Rs. Crore)							
Sl. No	State	1997-98	1998-99	1999-2000	April 1-June 2000	Sanctioned	Disbursed	Sanctioned	Disbursed
1.	Andhra Pradesh	277.1	176.0	180.8	119.4	410.6	148.2	51.2	41.0
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3.	Assam	15.5	15.5	48.0	26.6	43.3	3.0	0.0	3.0
4.	Bihar	37.1	32.9	67.4	13.5	24.1	3.17	1.3	3.4
5.	Delhi	-39.9	47.7	225.1	45.3	192.6	32.1	33.0	63.4
6.	Goa	6.6	2.7	1.80	10.6	62.00	9.4	0.0	0.0
7.	Gujarat	360.2	315.7	208.7	233.7	452.5	0.9	24.5	11.2
8.	Haryana	37.4	35.2	47.0	26.6	52.61	37.7	6.3	7.3
9.	Himachal Pradesh	26.8	19.5	6.7	9.5	37.2	3.1	3.6	3.0
10.	Jammu & Kashmir	4.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	41.48	4.2	0.0	1.0
11.	Karnataka	130.5	104.7	22.60	12.29	186.18	88.1	39.7	20.6
12.	Kerala	9.0	5.7	2.64	1.1	214.5	0.0	4.5	4.0
13.	Madhya Pradesh	235.3	59.7	5.85	63.6	104.5	8.6	60.1	6.3
14.	Maharashtra	425.1	264.3	54.42	26.2	847.1	493.4	1.1	86.0
15.	Orissa	28.5	12.6	1.42	23.6	46.33	20.3	1.0	1.0
16.	Punjab	29.5	28.4	5.15	49.8	147.1	3.7	5.15	10.33
17.	Rajasthan	82.0	51.9	9.53	8.1	67.33	9.7	6.48	1.20
18.	Sikkim	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19.	Tamil Nadu	276.6	93.6	24.96	1.3	823.22	2.4	0.1	0.86
20.	Uttar Pradesh	67.9	68.0	19.38	8.1	27.68	2.1	7.84	2.16
21.	West Bengal	93.0	79.5	49.0	6.4	49.12	6.5	6.19	5.06
22.	Union Territories & others								
	(a) Andaman & Nicobar	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(b) Chandigarh	3.0	8.0	2.3	1.8	40.50	2.6	0.0	4.0
	(c) Dadra & Nagar Haveli	1.28	9.4	10.6	10.3	65.80	3.2	0.0	1.1
	(e) Daman & Diu	4.9	3.6	5.7	5.1	56.60	1.8	1.3	1.0
	(f) Pondicherry	1.3	1.2	2.8	2.1	5.00	1.7	8.0	8.0

[8th August, 2000]

RAJYA SABHA

Statement-III

*State-wise Assistance Sanctioned by ICICI during 1997-98, 1998-99
1999-2000 & April—June 2000.*

(Rs. Crore)

S.No. States		1997-98	1998-99	1999-2000	2000 (April—June)
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Andhra Pradesh	1540.29	1645.10	3406.90	314.50
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	—	—	—	—
3.	Assam	20.00	27.00	181.71	57.00
4.	Bihar	547.45	292.50	607.63	—
5.	Goa	55.77	45.00	136.00	25.00
6.	Gujarat	5999.16	4615.00	5677.54	3432.79
7.	Harayana	607.61	780.50	959.91	95.18
8.	Himachal Pradesh	7.00	—	175.00	41.00
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	—	15	262.45	—
10.	Karnataka	1060.51	1427.75	2689.18	632.36
11.	Kerala	475.47	179.13	162.00	45.00
12.	Madhya Pradesh	1725.65	1169.88	630.95	752.17
13.	Maharashtra	6507.13	11678.09	11755.37	4373.43
14.	Manipur	—	—	—	—
15.	Meghalaya	—	—	—	—
16.	Mizoram	—	—	—	—
17.	Nagaland	—	—	—	—
18.	Orissa	213.66	616.36	2275.26	304.92
19.	Punjab	46.62	817.21	443.10	301.50
20.	Rajasthan	894.50	150.05	419.07	264.54
21.	Sikkim	—	—	—	100.00
22.	Tamil Nadu	1980.92	3573.23	3801.87	859.87
23.	Tripura	—	—	—	—

1	2	3	4	5	6
24.	Uttar Pradesh	627.93	865.17	2112.81	1056.04
25.	West Bengal	1460.32	2145.64	1920.82	1029.70
26.	National Capital Territory of Delhi	802.48	1842.51	5739.43	1706.00
27.	Other States & Union Territories	145.00	65.20	190.40	105.00
28.	Retail Finance	—	420.26	931.36	715.24
TOTAL:		24717.47	32370.58	44478.76	16211.24

Customs Duty on Cyclosporine

1795. SHRI K.C. KONDAIAH: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) What is the percentage of customs duty presently being levied on life-sustaining drug cyclosporine; and

(b) whether Government propose to take steps to reduce the customs duty on this life-saving drug to help kidney patients?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI V. DHANAJAY KUMAR): (a) Cyclosporine is leviable to a total customs duty of 40.54%.

(b) The Government is examining the representations to reduce the customs duty on Cyclosporine.

Bank Default by Mangalore Chemical and Fertilisers and other Companies

1796. SHRI ANANTRAY DEVSHANKER DAVE: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news-item captioned Mallaya's money which appeared in the Indian Express, New Delhi on the 10th April, 2000;

(b) whether it is a fact that Mangalore Chemicals & Fertilisers owes more than Rs 170 crores to banks and financial institutions and also that the other companies mentioned in the above news-item are either in the default list of banks or unable to pay debenture holders; and